

1
2
3
4 ORLANDO GARCIA,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7
8 PENINSULA COMPANY, et al.,
9 Defendants.

10 Case No. 22-cv-00192-JST

11 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

12
13 Plaintiff Orlando Garcia filed the complaint in this case on January 11, 2022. ECF No. 1.
14 Under General Order 56, Gomez was required to complete service, or file a motion for
15 administrative relief requesting an extension, within 60 days of filing the complaint. General
16 Order No. 56 ¶ 1. That deadline passed on March 14, 2022. To date, no proof of service or
17 administrative motion has been filed.

18 Garcia is ordered to show cause as to why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to
19 comply with the Court's orders. *See Civil L.R. 1-4; Wang v. Nev. Sys. of Higher Educ.*, No. 3:18-
20 cv-00075-MMD-CLB, 2022 WL 95428, at *4 (D. Nev. Jan. 10, 2022) ("Federal courts have the
21 inherent power to punish conduct which abuses the judicial process, including assessing attorneys'
22 fees when a party has 'acted in bad faith, vexatiously, wantonly, or for oppressive reasons.'"
23 (quoting *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 45-46 (1991)). The Court notes that it has
24 frequently been required to address Plaintiff's counsel's failure to comply with the Court's
25 deadlines. *See Johnson v. Silvercreek Yuba I, LLC*, Case No. 21-cv-05907; *Johnson v. Gamba*,
26 Case No. 21-cv-06708; *Whitaker v. Sugarman*, Case No. 4:21-cv-07308; *Johnson v. T&V*
27 *Investment, Inc.*, Case No. 21-cv-09162.

28 ///

1 A written show cause response is due by May 24, 2022. The Court will conduct a show
2 cause hearing on June 7, 2022, at 2:00 p.m.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: April 18, 2022

5 
6 JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California